Translation

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY



PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference NE-90062WO	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416			
International application No.	International filing date (day/month/year				
PCT/JP2004/006042	27 April 2004 (27.04.2004)	09 May 2003 (09.05.2003)			
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC G06F 12/14, 15/00, 17/60					
Applicant NEC CORPORATION					
This report is the international prelin Authority under Article 35 and trans	ninary examination report, established by mitted to the applicant according to Article	this International Preliminary Examining e 36.			
2. This REPORT consists of a total of	sheets, including this cov	er sheet.			
3. This report is also accompanied by A					
a. (sent to the applicant and	to the International Bureau) a total of	5 sheets, as follows:			
sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).					
sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box.					
b. (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s)) , containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).					
4. This report contains indications relating to the following items:					
Box No. I Basis of the report					
Box No. II Priority					
Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability					
Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention					
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
Box No. VI Certain documents cited					
Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application					
Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application					
Date of submission of the demand	Date of completion	on of this report			
25 November 2004 (25.1)	1.2004)	26 May 2005 (26.05.2005)			
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/JP	Authorized office	г			
Facsimile No.	Telephone No.				

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/006042

Box No). I	Basis of the report		
1. With othe	regard	to the language, this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless adicated under this item.		
	This which	report is based on translations from the original language into the following language, the is language of a translation furnished for the purpose of:		
Ì		international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b))		
1		publication of the international application (under Rule 12.4)		
[international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)		
İ				
Jul 11:	are not	i to the elements of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which have been the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" annexed to this report):		
	The i	nternational application as originally filed/furnished		
	the de	escription:		
j	pages	, as originally med/furnished		
	pages	loceived by this Authority on		
_	pages	received by this Authority on		
	the cl	aims:		
İ	pages			
	pages	*, as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19		
1	pages	25 November 2004 (25.11.2004)		
	pages	received by this Authority on		
\boxtimes	the dr	awings:		
ì	pages			
ľ	pages	received by this Authority on		
	pages	received by this Authority on		
	a sequ	ence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing.		
3.	The a	mendments have resulted in the cancellation of:		
		the description, pages		
	the claims, Nos.			
	the drawings, sheets/figs			
		the sequence listing (specify):		
	Ш	any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):		
4.	(Rule	eport has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below had not been since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box 70.2(c)). the description, pages the claims, Nos the drawings, sheets/figs the sequence listing (specify):		
	Ħ.	any table(s) related to sequence listing (specific		
	الا	any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):		
* If iten	1 4 app	lies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "superseded."		

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

FC1/JP2004/000042

Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;
	citations and explanations supporting such statement

Novelty (N)	Claims	2-3, 5-19, 22-24	YE
	Claims	1, 4, 20-21	NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	2-3, 5-18, 23-24	YE
	Claims	1, 4, 19-22	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-24	YE
	Claims		NO

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7)

Document 1: JP, 2003-58430, A (Sony Corp.), 28 February, 2003, Par. No. 0059-0126; Full text (Family: none)

Document 2: JP, 2003-85048, A (Sony Corp.), 20 March, 2003, Par. No. 0442-0477; Figs. 49-52 (Family: none)

Because they are described in paragraphs 0059 through 0126 of Document 1 cited in the ISR, the inventions of Claims 1 and 4 do not appear to be novel or to involve an inventive step.

Because they are described in paragraphs 0442 through 0477 of Document 2 cited in the ISR, the inventions of Claims 20 and 21 do not appear to be novel or to involve an inventive step.

The inventions of Claims 19 and 22 do not appear to involve an inventive step based on Document 2 cited in the ISR. Querying a server regarding whether to update is a conventional means, and utilizing this conventional means in the technology described in Document 2 would be obvious to a person skilled in the art.

None of the documents cited in the ISR describes the point of Claims 2-3, 5-18 and 23-24 of, based on distribution control information distributed with digital data and showing data forwarding control conditions, determining or verifying whether to store again in an information processing terminal or whether to query a server prior to storing again in an information processing terminal; nor is this obvious to a person skilled in the art.